

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

AM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,939	07/25/2003	Roberto Gonzalez Gonzalez	200208417-1	5401
22879	7590 08/02/2006		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LINS, CO 80527-2400		3653	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/626,939	GONZALEZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph C. Rodriguez	3653			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
Status						
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		-· action is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 27-40</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>a6</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	` '		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/626,939

Art Unit: 3653

### Final Rejection

Applicant's arguments filed 5/23/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for reasons detailed below.

The prior art rejections are maintained or modified as follows:

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9, 12-19, 21-24, 27, 28, 30-34 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chung (US 4,822,025).

Regarding claims 1-3, 5, 12-19, 21-22, 31-32, 34, 37, Chung (Fig. 1-6) teaches a printing apparatus for distributing print media comprising

an image-forming device (Fig. 2),

at least one input roller (Fig. 3, near 1) that transports the print media to a staging location (sheet supporting surface in 2) the accumulator,

an accumulator (guide 2; col. 3, ln. 23-32 wherein side brackets are capable of registering sheets during the pivoting movement) pivotable about an axis (8), the accumulator having a print media inlet (right fig. 4) and a print media outlet (left near trays in fig. 4),

an actuator (motor 6) configured to pivot the accumulator about the axis, and

a first output bin and a second output bin aligned to receive print media discharged from the accumulator; and the first output bin and the second output bin are stationary relative to the axis (Fig. 3-6, first and second bin can be regarded as any adjacent output trays).

Regarding claims 4, 33, Applicant is respectfully reminded that the material or article worked upon by the apparatus does not limit apparatus claims. See MPEP 2115. Thus, the surface area of the staging location can be regarded as less than the size of the print media.

Regarding claims 6, 9, Chung teaches a movable belt (Fig. 3, belt 10) having a protrusion (portion of belt surrounding driving roller 3) that indirectly engages the print media and dispenses the print media through the print media outlet and out of the accumulator.

Regarding claims 7, 8, 36, Chung teaches using a sensor to activate feed rollers and the actuator operable after a set number of sheets to coordinate the movement of print media through the accumulator (col. 2, In. 65-col. 4, In. 15), thus a controller coupled to the actuator can be regarded as inherent.

Regarding claims 23, 38, the accumulator is regarded as sized such that at least a first portion of a sheet of the print media is supported by the accumulator and at least a second portion of a sheet of the print media is supported by the first output bin during accumulation of the print media.

Regarding method claims 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, the device cited above is regarded as anticipating the claimed method steps during the normal operation of the device (col. 2, ln. 65-col. 4, ln. 15).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 9, 10 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung in view of Mestre (US 3,356,362).

Chung as set forth above teaches all that is claimed except for expressly teaching a gear assembly for transferring rotational movement from the motor to the accumulator. Further, under an alternative interpretation, an engaging conveyor belt may not be taught. These features, however, are well known in the sheet feeding arts. For instance, Mestre teaches a pivotable accumulator with the claimed features (Fig. 1, gearing assembly; Fig. 3, protrusions 97; col. 4, In. 20-63). Moreover, these features can be regarded as recognized equivalents to the features taught by Chung. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Chung with the gearing and conveyor

features taught by Mestre as these features are art recognized equivalents. See MPEP 2144.06.

Claims 11, 20, 29 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung in view of Mandel et al. ("Mandel")(US 5,382,012).

Chung as set forth above teaches all that is claimed except for expressly teaching a registration roller that moves the print media against a registration wall to align an edge of the print media and a finishing device disposed inside the accumulator to perform a finishing operation on the print media. These features, however, are also well known in the sheet feeding arts. For instance, Mandel teaches a mailboxing sheet system with these features (Fig. 1-3; col. 5, ln. 40-55, col. 10, ln. 56-col. 12, ln. 24 teaching longitudinal and lateral registration using rollers and stapler 97 for compiling). Here, the steps of integrated, automated registration and compiling provide the common-sense benefit of saving the user time from performing a manual task. Moreover, Mandel expressly teaches that these features are applicable to a pivotal sheet stacker system as taught by Chung (col. 8, ln. 9-col. 9, ln. 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Chung as taught above.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments that the prior art fails to teach the claimed features are unpersuasive. In particular, Applicant argues that the prior art fails to teach an

Application/Control Number: 10/626,939

10/1/20/11/01 144/11/2011 10/020,00

Art Unit: 3653

accumulator. Here, Applicant is respectfully reminded that claim language is interpreted as broadly as reason allows and that it is not unreasonable to interpret the guide taught by Chung as an accumulator. In claim 3, Applicant defines an accumulator as comprising a staging location that supports at least a portion of a print media. Chung as cited above teaches a guide that functions as a staging location that supports a sheet during transport, thus it is not unreasonable to regard this guide as a an accumulator based on Applicant's own claim definition as said guide accumulates at least one sheet. Applicant appears to be arguing for limitations not commensurate in claim scope by arguing that an accumulator is a staging location for several sheets that accumulates several sheets prior to delivery. This limitation is not present in the device claims and only appears in method claim 26. Further, Applicant is respectfully reminded that claim language consisting of functional language and/or intended use phrasing is given little, if any, patentable weight as the apparatus must merely be capable of functioning, or being used, as claimed. See MPEP 2112.02, 2114. Here, the guide of Chung is certainly capable of functioning as an accumulator of several sheets. Further, Mestre supplies the belt with a protrusion that Applicant asserts Chung is lacking. Consequently, as the prior undermines Applicant's arguments, the claims stand rejected.

Page 6

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Examiner has maintained the prior art rejections, statutory rejections and drawing objections as previously stated and as modified above. Applicant's amendment necessitated any new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Page 8

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Joseph C Rodriguez** whose telephone number is **571-272-6942** (M-F, 9 am – 6 pm, EST).

The **Official** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

The examiner's UNOFFICIAL Personal fax number is 571-273-6942.

Further, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see

## http://pair-direct.uspto.gov

Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (Toll Free).

Alternatively, inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can also be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **571-272-6584** or to the Supervisory Examiner, Patrick Mackey, **571-272-6916**.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,939

Art Unit: 3653

Signed by Examiner Joseph Rodriguez

Jcr

\*\*\*

July 28, 2006

Page 9